ILS PROPOSAL REVIEW GROUP Final Report

August 17, 2023

To the Franklin Township Public Library Board of Trustees,

After a careful evaluation and review of the proposals received from Bywater Solutions and Innovative Interfaces it is the recommendation of this group that the library proceed with adoption of the proposed plan by **Innovative Interfaces**.

Signed Conflict of Interest Statements and the final score tally for our review are on the following pages.

January Adams, Library Director Michael Ferrante, Head of Adult Services George Eppinger, Technology Librarian

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

6

7

I hereby certify that I have reviewed the conflict of interest standards in the <u>Local</u> <u>Government Ethics Law</u> or the School Ethics Act, as appropriate, and that I do not have a conflict of interest with respect to the evaluation of this proposal. I further certify that I am not engaged in any negotiations or arrangements for prospective employment or association with any of those submitting proposals or their parent or subsidiary organization.

Signature **Printed Name**

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

I hereby certify that I have reviewed the conflict of interest standards in the <u>Local</u> <u>Government Ethics Law</u> or the School Ethics Act, as appropriate, and that I do not have a conflict of interest with respect to the evaluation of this proposal. I further certify that I am not engaged in any negotiations or arrangements for prospective employment or association with any of those submitting proposals or their parent or subsidiary organization.

Signature

Ferrante Printed Name

3

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

I hereby certify that I have reviewed the conflict of interest standards in the <u>Local</u> <u>Government Ethics Law</u> or the School Ethics Act, as appropriate, and that I do not have a conflict of interest with respect to the evaluation of this proposal. I further certify that I am not engaged in any negotiations or arrangements for prospective employment or association with any of those submitting proposals or their parent or subsidiary organization.

Signatur **Printed Name**

Innovative/Clarivate Polaris/Vega					
Category	MF	J	A	GE	
Understanding of the Required Work		1	1	1	
Overall Suitability		5.59	6.5	6.5	
Implementation		0.8	1	1	
Experience		0.9	1	1	
Cost		0.45	0.45	0.45	
Overall Score		8.74	9.95	9.95	

ByWater Solutions Koha/Aspen					
Category	MF		IA (GE	
Understanding of the Required Work		1	1	0.9	
Overall Suitability		5.1025	4.2575	6.0125	
Implementation		0.9	0.7	0.9	
Experience		0.8	0.8	0.9	
Cost		0.35	0.4	0.5	
Overall Score		8.1525	7.1575	9.2125	

ByWater Solutions Weighted Suitability Scores

Category	MF	JA	GE	Weight
Discover/OPAC	2	0.25	2.25	25%
Patron Services and Circulation	1.8	1.8	2	20%
Cataloging	1.05	0.9	1.35	15%
Acquisitions	0.45	0.45	0.45	5%
Serials Control	0.35	0.45	0.5	5%
Reporting	1.4	1.8	1.8	20%
Remaining Sections	0.8	0.9	0.9	10%
Total	7.85	6.55	9.25	100%

ByWater Solutions Unweighted Suitability Scores

Category	MF	JA	GE
Discover/OPAC	8	1	9
Patron Services and Circulation	9	9	10
Cataloging	7	6	9
Acquisitions	9	9	9
Serials Control	7	9	10
Reporting	7	9	9
Remaining Sections	8	9	9

Innovative Interfaces Weighted Suitability Scores

Category	MF	JA	GE	Weight
Discover/OPAC	2.25	2.5	2.5	25%
Patron Services and Circulation	1.8	2	2	20%
Cataloging	1.05	1.5	1.5	15%
Acquisitions	0.45	0.5	0.5	5%
Serials Control	0.35	0.5	0.5	5%
Reporting	1.8	2	2	20%
Remaining Sections	0.9	1	1	10%
Total	8.6	10	10	100%

Innovative Interfaces Unweighted Suitability Scores

Category	MF	JA	GE
Discover/OPAC	ç) 10	10
Patron Services and Circulation	ç) 10	10
Cataloging	7	' 10	10
Acquisitions	ç) 10	10
Serials Control	7	' 10	10
Reporting	ç) 10	10
Remaining Sections	9) 10	10